



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

as they came directly from the speaker. On another occasion in this same hall the leader of the band had great trouble in conducting a certain selection. The piece being played was a xylophone solo with orchestra accompaniment. After some time the leader discovered that he was beating time to the *echo* of the xylophone. The players near the soloist kept proper time, the others near the leader played in unison with the echo. The result may be imagined.

While both observation and opinion indicate that acoustical defects are not helped by wires, it is interesting to look for further confirmation from the standpoint of theory. It is well known that if a loud tone is sung near a piano, certain wires of the latter will re-sound. Perhaps this phenomenon suggested the use of wires in auditoriums, with the hope that the objectionable sound would be absorbed or broken up in some way. But the conditions for the response of the piano strings are very favorable. There are many wires tuned to different pitches, so that certain ones are in tune, or nearly so, with any tone sung, and these are the wires that re-sound. The wire in the auditorium would respond therefore to only one of the many tones present. To be effective on this score, there would have to be many wires tuned so as to cover a wide range of pitch. Secondly, the piano wire is backed by a sounding board, which absorbs considerable energy and communicates it to the wire. The response is thus very much greater than it would be without the sounding board. The wire in the auditorium has no such sounding board, therefore it absorbs less energy and has less effect on the sound. Finally, the piano occupies a considerable portion of the space of the room and gets energy not only directly, but also by reflection from the near-by walls and ceiling. On the other hand, the wire in the auditorium is small, and is struck by only a small part of the sound waves, direct or reflected, hence has a small chance to help matters. All of these considerations indicate the smallness of the effect to be expected.

One other way in which wires might be beneficial lies in the possible scattering of the

sound waves. Here again, however, the small bulk of the wires allows but little effect. The sound waves pass around the wires in much the same way that large water waves on a pond pass by a stake projecting through the surface. It is only when the obstacle has some size compared with the waves that a disturbance is set up. If there were a large number of wires close together, the sound waves would be influenced. In halls, we find usually only a few wires installed, probably with the idea of having them inconspicuous.

From the various considerations mentioned, it is seen that the installation of wires in halls having poor acoustics is without marked effect. While much remains to be done on the problem of architectural acoustics, and though the means of cure can not be specified readily for each case, it is nevertheless of value to know that the installation of wires, as now used, will *not* serve to cure the trouble.

F. R. WATSON

BERLIN, GERMANY,

March 6, 1912

COLOR VARIATIONS OF THE HOUSE MOUSE IN CALIFORNIA

WHILE trapping for mice in the vicinity of Palo Alto, California, in November, 1910, a mouse was taken the under parts of which were colored white, as in the common Gambel's mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus gambeli*), but which on examination, proved to be a house mouse (*Mus musculus*). Since that time, trapping in a number of localities in California by the author and others and search through previously made collections of California mammals have brought to light a considerable number of instances of color variations in the house mouse. So far, only a start has been made in the study of these variations. The meager results at hand are published at this time because the author is leaving California. It is hoped that such publication will direct the attention of students and collectors to the house mouse, an animal that is commonly neglected. Very likely further variations will be found in the same animal from other parts of America.

I am indebted to Professor J. O. Snyder, of Stanford University, and to Professor Joseph Grinnell, of the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, for suggestions and for the use of the collections under their charge. To Miss Hilda Hempl, of Stanford University, I am indebted for a considerable number of specimens.

The common house mouse is nearly uniform in color all over. The under parts are a little lighter than the back, but the transition is very gradual. The entire color of the mouse is subject to some variation, both individual and geographic. No attempt will be made here to discuss this variation which affects all parts of the mouse equally or nearly so. We will consider only those variations in color which result in one part of the mouse becoming strikingly different in color from the other parts.

A considerable number of house mice in California have the under parts separated in color from the upper parts. The upper parts retain the color of the common house mice of the region, while the under parts become colored either white, creamy buff, reddish buff, or intermediate tints between these colors and the color of the under parts of the unmodified house mouse. These colors of the under parts, where present, are sharply separated from the color of the back and sides at a definite line. In about half the specimens this line is emphasized by the addition of a narrow stripe of pale fulvous.

In all, seven house mice with white under parts, two with creamy buff under parts and a much larger number with reddish buff under parts have been taken up to the present time. Enough intermediate stages between the various colors have been found so that it becomes certain that these grade into one another and therefore are probably the product of the same factor or factors of variation. What these factors are we can make no attempt to consider with the amount of data at hand. All attempts to correlate these color variations with cranial or bodily differences have been fruitless.

One peculiar specimen shows an oval white spot about one half inch long on the middle of the belly. In every other case noted the whole of the under parts are affected alike. The area covered by the modified color—except in this one instance—is just about the same as the area covered by the white in the common white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus gambeli*).

The earliest record of any variation in the color of the ventral surface of the house mouse of California is furnished by a specimen in the Stanford University Museum collected April 3, 1893, by J. M. Stowell at Palo Alto. This specimen shows reddish-buff under parts sharply marked off from the color of the back. During November of 1907, Joseph Dixon took two specimens with white underparts and one with creamy buff under parts at Palo Alto. All other records of specimens with peculiar coloration are for the fall of 1910 and the spring of 1911.

A large proportion of the mice showing the color variations on which this article is based have been taken at Palo Alto and Stanford University. Here a few were found on the salt marshes near San Francisco Bay and the rest in the houses and barns at Palo Alto and on the campus of the university. In some houses all the mice seem to be more or less modified in color, though not all in the same way or to the same degree. In other places most of the mice may have the typical house mouse coloration and only a few show any variation. From a lot of fifteen mice taken in two days at Stanford University, only one showed any considerable variation and this one was white on the belly. Nearly half the mice taken in the region show some modification of the color of the underparts. Besides the region about Palo Alto, house mice showing variation in the color of the under parts have been taken during 1911 at Tipton, Tulare County, at Madera, Madera County, and at Pacific Grove, Monterey County. Of two house mice taken at Tipton, one shows a light creamy-buff ventral surface, while the other has the ordinary coloration of the house mouse. Of eleven house mice taken at Madera in a

barn and along the Fresno River, four had the under parts a dusky white sharply marked off from the color of the upperparts. The other seven had either no modification in color or the modification was very slight. Of four house mice examined at Pacific Grove, three showed pale reddish buff underparts and the other showed no modification. Two house mice taken at Pismo, San Luis Obispo County, and about fifty taken at Tracy, San Joaquin County, showed no modification. I know of no record of this color modification outside of the state of California.

Besides the modification of the color of the ventral surface, two specimens of house mice from California show the assumption of the dark longitudinal dorsal stripe described by Allen for *Mus musculus jalapæ*. One of these specimens is from New River, Salton Sea, collected by Frank Stephens, and shows a wide dark dorsal stripe with no modification of the ventral surface. Another house mouse from the same locality taken at the same time does not have any indication of the dorsal stripe. The other specimen of the *jalapæ* type is from Madera, Madera County, and in addition to a narrow dark dorsal stripe, has dusky white underparts sharply marked off from the color of the sides so that the color of both back and belly is modified.

These instances seem to indicate that the house mouse is undergoing modification in some localities, and it may be that important results will be obtained by the study of the progress of this modification.

LEE R. DICE

July, 1911

SOCIETIES AND ACADEMIES

THE SECOND ANNUAL MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ASSOCIATION OF SCIENTIFIC SOCIETIES

THE second annual meeting of the Pacific Association of Scientific Societies was held at Stanford University, Friday and Saturday, April 5 and 6, 1912. Eight of the eleven constituent societies held sessions: Technical Society of the Pacific, the Cordilleran Section of the Geological Society of America, the Seismological Society of America, Pacific Coast Branch of the American Historical

Association, the Pacific Slope Association of Economic Entomologists, Pacific Coast Paleontological Society, Biological Society of the Pacific Coast and the California Section of the American Chemical Society. The other societies were either unable to hold or not desirous of holding sessions at this meeting. With the association met also the San Francisco Section of the American Mathematical Society.

The Astronomical Society of the Pacific was elected to membership in the association. This makes the association represent a membership of over 2,000 persons.

The officers of the executive committee elected for 1912-13 are Otto von Geldern, chairman; George D. Louderback, vice-chairman and J. N. Bowman, secretary-treasurer.

Berkeley was selected as the suggested place for the third annual meeting in 1913; and the suggested time was temporarily placed in the spring of that year—the definite date is to be determined later.

The general session of the association was held on Saturday evening in the chapel of the university. In the absence of President Jordan, Dr. Branner, vice-president, gave the address of welcome. Director William Wallace Campbell, of the Lick Observatory, gave an address on "Recent Studies of the Stellar System." He gave the latest views and theories as based on the observations and work done at Mount Hamilton and elsewhere. Professor Ewald Flügel, of Stanford University, read a paper on "Scientific Lexicography," wherein he traced the lexicographical work from the thirteenth century to the present; he discussed the standards that were used by the Grimm brothers in their work, and which formed the basis of all the later activity in lexicography and raised this subject to the rank of a science. Professor Andrew Cowper Lawson, of the University of California, gave the last address of the session on "Recent Views on the Archæan Rocks of Canada." Twenty years ago he examined these rocks for the Canadian government. Lately his findings and views have been brought into question. Last summer he went over the field again, at the instance of the Canadian government, with the result that he is led to corroborate his former findings and views.

J. N. BOWMAN,
Secretary

BERKELEY, CAL.,
April, 1912